IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.5101 OF 2006

Late D.R.Bapat Kreeda Pratisthan .. Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr.T.S.Ingle with Ms.Archana B.Kololgi for the Petitioner.
Mr.P.I.Khemani, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.
Mr.N.V.Walawalkar i/b. S.Prabhu for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & V.M.KANADE, JJ.
DATE : 7TH SEPTEMBER, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioner, the learned A.G.P.for Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and the learned Counsel for Respondent No.3. Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith. By consent taken up for hearing.

2. The short issue involved in the above that Respondent No.3 Municipal Corporation had already submitted a proposal dated 24th April, 1987 to Respondent No.1 in respect of deleting the reservation site No.101 in sanctioned D.P. of Sangli City under section 50 of the Maharashtra Regional Town Planning Act, 1966.

3. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, we direct Respondent No.1 to decide the said proposal, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of eight weeks from today. Rule is made absolute accordingly. Respondent No.1 shall give an opportunity of personal hearing to the Petitioner, before passing any order.

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)

(V.M.KANADE,J.)